

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

## PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN

(11)Publication number : 2002-230246

(43)Date of publication of application : 16.08.2002

(51)Int.Cl.

G06F 17/60

(21)Application number : 2001-030381

(71)Applicant : HITACHI LTD

(22)Date of filing : 07.02.2001


(72)Inventor : SATO TATSUHIRO  
KANDA CHIKAYO  
SASAKI TOSHIRO

## (54) RESOURCE ALLOCATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

## (57)Abstract:

**PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED:** To provide a resource allocation management system allowing a person in charge to be able to change an allocation plan and to perform the adjustment among persons concerned efficiently with a small load, in a resource allocation management for monitoring and controlling the use thereof by allocating finite resources to a plurality of jobs.

**SOLUTION:** When a resource allocation plan is changed by giving a point 0106 to a job requester, based on allocation plan data 0101 and an operation information on the day 0102, a plurality of allocation plan change plans are prepared 0115 and, based on the allocation plan change plans, point updating information 0105 showing the point variation of the job requester before and after the change plans are executed is calculated 0116. A coordination part 0114 selects an optimum allocation plan change plan based on the point updating information, notifies the job requester of the optimum allocation plan change plan and the point updating information and, based on the reply 0103 of approval or disapproval to the notification from the job requester, performs again the selection and notification of the change plan or determines the change plan to update the point based on a request history from the job requester up to the definition of the point updating information and change plan for the change plan.



## LEGAL STATUS

[Date of request for examination]

[Date of sending the examiner's decision of rejection]

[Kind of final disposal of application other than the examiner's decision of rejection or application converted registration]

[Date of final disposal for application]

[Patent number]

[Date of registration]

[Number of appeal against examiner's decision  
of rejection]

[Date of requesting appeal against examiner's  
decision of rejection]

[Date of extinction of right]

Copyright (C); 1998,2003 Japan Patent Office

\* NOTICES \*

JPO and NCIP are not responsible for any damages caused by the use of this translation.

- 1.This document has been translated by computer. So the translation may not reflect the original precisely.
- 2.\*\*\* shows the word which can not be translated.
- 3.In the drawings, any words are not translated.

CLAIMS

[Claim(s)]

[Claim 1] Are the resource allocation managerial system which changes allocation of the resource used in order to perform said job to two or more jobs of each which are the processing which should be performed, and said each of two or more jobs are received. As opposed to the means which assigns a resource, and said each of two or more jobs A means to match and memorize the number of jobs of the predetermined number according to said assigned resource, A means to receive the change request to assignment of said resource, a means to acquire the resource status information which shows the activation situation of each assigned resource, and by changing said number of jobs based on said resource status information The resource allocation managerial system characterized by having a means to perform modification to said change request

[Translation done.]

\* NOTICES \*

JP0 and NCIP are not responsible for any damages caused by the use of this translation.

- 1. This document has been translated by computer. So the translation may not reflect the original precisely.
- 2.\*\*\* shows the word which can not be translated.
- 3. In the drawings, any words are not translated.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[Detailed Description of the Invention]

[0001]

[Field of the Invention] This invention relates to \*\* which distributes the resource for performing predetermined processing using a computer. In fields, such as traffic, production, PD, etc., such as spot management [in / in the inside of it / an airport], seat reservation of a train, staffing of a production site, and allocation of a delivery car. The resource of finite is distributed to two or more jobs, and it is related with the computing system for specifically canceling contention of a resource about the technique and equipment which support the business (resource allocation management business) which supervises and controls the use by the calculating machine, adjusting the interest of job demand origin.

[0002]

[Description of the Prior Art] Hereafter, the spot management business in the airport in the aeronautical-navigation field is taken up as an example of resource allocation management business, and the conventional technique is explained.

[0003] A spot is the parking tooth space of the aircraft in an airport apron. Usually, the aircraft is parked at an apron to either of two or more spots, and carries out start dead works, such as getting on and off of a passenger, conveyance of a load, and oil supply, cleaning, check, there after arriving at an airport until it leaves again. The parking demand (spot demand) of the aircraft is received in advance from two or more airlines, and spot management business is roughly divided into the business (spot employment monitor business) which supervises the business (spot allotment plan creation business) which draws up the allotment plan of a spot, operation of (1) aircraft, and a spot use situation. Here, a "resource" and an airline correspond to "the requiring agency" of a job, respectively. [need / the "job" of parking of the aircraft and a spot / for job execution / a spot demand]

[0004] In addition to these, computing the spot dues (parking tariff) of each airline based on a spot use track record depending on an airport is also included in a part of spot management business. Moreover, as for spot management, it is common that it is under jurisdiction of an airport commissioned company (public corporation), and the business is performed by the personnel of a commissioned company (public corporation).

[0005] The method which acquires the modification data and track record data of arrival of the aircraft and a start on real time through a communication line, updates spot allocation information based on it about the monitor method of the allocation situation of a spot of having used the computer, and is automatically outputted to graphical representation equipment is well-known (JP, 5-151226A). However, this does not automate formulation of a modification plan when trouble arises including adjustment with an airline to implementation of a plan, either. [0006] For turbulence of operation by weather aggravation, the delay of the various activities under parking, etc., other aircrafts of a use schedule of the same spot and interference, i.e., contention of a resource, were produced, and when it became clear that a spot cannot be used as planned, as it was the following, the plan was changed conventionally.

- (1) Create a modification proposal manually based on experience, a person in charge taking various conditions into consideration.

- (2) Use FAX, a telephone, etc. for the airline which becomes a candidate for modification, connect the contents of modification to it, and aim at deliberations and adjustment. Depending on the case, correction is manually added to a modification proposal in response to the claim from an airline.

- (3) While approving a modification proposal as a formal spot allotment plan after adjustment and notifying to one's related posts, such as an airline and oil supply service, perform subsequent employment monitors based on this.

[0007]

[Problem(s) to be Solved by the Invention] Although planned modification at the time of the employment monitor of spot management business generally follows the above-mentioned flow, it is rare that a modification proposal is approved by whenever [ - ] in the case of the inquiry to an airline, a claim comes out from an airline to the contents of modification, and adjustment often runs into difficulties in many cases. This had become a person's in charge big burden in the conventional spot management business.

[0008] Sudden spot modification of employment that day is not desirable for every airline in order to cause planned modification of a staff and equipments in connection with various activities, such as oil supply and cleaning. For example, naturally the situation that the \*\*\* schedule of the oil supply car which moves in the inside of the vast apron of an airport is out of order, and re-communication for correction and worker of a schedule is needed with spot modification is considered that each airline wants to avoid if possible. Such various demerits that spot modification causes are the fundamental factors accompanying adjustment of a modification proposal in difficulty.

[0009] In addition to this, that it cannot adjust smoothly has a problem also in the technical side face in the conventional spot management.

- (1) There is no clear policy of planned modification. That is, the person in charge is performing creation of the conventional modification proposal manually, and a much clasp element is strong. Therefore, creation of the rational modification proposal in alignment with a clear policy an airline is satisfactory was difficult.

- (2) There is no consideration of fairness in planned modification. For example, suppose that the situation of choosing A company or B company as the object of spot modification in the case of modification proposal creation arose. In A company, delay of operation turbulence and an activity causes [ many ] planned modification in many cases. On the other hand, B company does to operation and an activity being exact and almost not becoming the cause of planned modification. In this case, although it could be said to be decision with fair choosing A company as an object of spot modification when other conditions were completely the same, such consideration always was not expectable by clasp trap handicraft like (1). Moreover, since it also set like the adjustment fault and the consciousness of fairness was lacked, only the claims of a specific airline are accepted as a result and the situation that other airlines received disadvantageous profit might happen.

- (3) There is no suitable communication means between persons in charge. Since a limitation was in the capacity to tell correctly an intention of the contents of modification and a person in charge, only by the telephone or FAX, it was inconvenient to perform complicated adjustment efficiently.

[0010] As mentioned above, although the trouble was explained by making spot management business of the aeronautical-navigation field into an example. These are not what specialized only in spot management business, and set seat reservation of a train, staffing of a production site, allocation of a delivery car, etc. in fields, such as traffic, production, and PD, the business which distributes the resource of finite to two or more jobs, and supervises the use -- in case it is, and contention of a resource is canceled, adjusting the interest of job demand origin, it is the problem which is widely common.

[0011] The purpose of this invention solves the above-mentioned trouble in connection with resource allocation management, and is to offer the resource allocation managerial system using a computer with a person in charge able to perform modification of an allotment plan, and adjustment between the persons concerned efficiently by the small load.

[0012]

[Means for Solving the Problem] In order to solve the above-mentioned technical problem, this invention gives the point to job demand origin. In case a resource allotment plan is changed, based on employment information, two or more allotment plan modification proposals are created on a resource allotment plan and the day. Compute the point update information of the job demand origin before and behind modification proposal operation, and the optimal allotment plan modification proposal is chosen based on point update information. The optimal allotment plan modification proposal and point update information are notified to job demand origin, based on reply of the acknowledgement from job demand origin, or not recognizing, selection and a notice of a modification proposal are performed again, or a modification proposal is decided, and the point is updated.

[0013] from the point current in the job demand origin which caused (1) planned modification in calculation of point update information -- subtracting -- (2) -- the job demand origin which came out other than this and had resource modification is added to the current point, and determines the point after planned modification.

[0014] In selection of a modification proposal, the point gap after planned modification chooses the smallest allotment plan modification proposal preferentially using the point update information over a modification proposal.

[0015] from the point current in the job demand origin which caused (1) planned modification in renewal of the point according to point update information -- subtracting -- (2) -- the job demand origin which came out other than this and had resource modification is added to the current point. Furthermore, to the job demand origin which has answered un-recognizing by modification proposal decision, it subtracts from the present point and the point is updated. Moreover, a modification proposal with few amounts of modification from a current plan creates preferentially.

[0016] Moreover, it has the record means of an image and voice, and direct negotiation with the person in charge of the job demand origin using an image and speech information is enabled.

[0017] Moreover, it has a means to draw up the prior plan of resource allocation, and the point draws up the allotment plan which gave priority to job demand origin with much point over little job demand origin.

[0018] Moreover, it has a means to compute the toll of a resource, and according to the point of job demand origin, the point computes a resource toll by discounting the tariff of premium and job demand origin with much point for the tariff of little job demand origin.

[0019]

[Embodiment of the Invention] Below, the spot managerial system which is the gestalt of operation of this invention is stated to a detail using drawing 21 R 1 from drawing 1 by making the spot management business of the airport in the aeronautical-navigation field applicable to application.

[0020] First, the gestalt of the 1st operation is explained using drawing 14 from drawing 1.  
[0021] The block diagram of the example of this invention is shown in drawing 1. In drawing 1, the Employment Monitoring Department 0111 supervises whether based on the spot allotment plan data 0101, there are any abnormalities in the employment information 0102 on the day showing operation of the aircraft of a planned implementation daily allowance day, and a spot use situation. A controller 0114 is started, when the employment Monitoring Department detects abnormalities and the need for planned modification arises. A controller 0114 adjusts a modification proposal based on the requiring agency reply data 0103 obtained from the allotment plan modification proposal 0104 obtained through the modification proposal creation section 0115, the claim point update information 0105 acquired through the claim point update information calculation section 0116, and the terminal unit by the side of an airline (job demand origin). The renewal section 0117 of the claim point updates the claim point based on the claim point update information of the settled modification proposal. From the employment Monitoring Department 0111 and a controller 0114, the information about a monitor and adjustment is suitably told to a person in charge through the output section 0112. Conversely, from a person in charge, the control information about a monitor and adjustment tells to the employment

Monitoring Department 0111 and a controller 0114 through the input section 0113.

[0022] The configuration which realizes the gestalt of this operation to drawing 2 is shown. What is necessary is just to use what connected with the airline terminal unit 0205 and employment information offer equipment 0206 through the network device what connected an output unit 0203, storage 0202, and an input unit 0204 to the computer 0201, in order to realize the gestalt of this operation. In addition, a computer 0201 may be the object of output unit 0203 grade and one.

[0023] Stores 0202 may be not only magnetic storage but an optical disk, and semiconductor memory. What is necessary is just to have sufficient capacity, since program execution and data are stored in short.

[0024] \*\*\*\*\* [ the number of them / a keyboard and other input devices may be used for it, and may be used for it combining them, or / any one ] although the input device 0203 assumes the mouse. As for the input unit, 0203 should just have the function to receive information according to the actuation from a user. \*\*\*\*\* [ the number of them / other output units may be used for it, and may be used for it combining them, or / any one ] although the output unit 0204 assumes graphic display. That is, an output of the information which a computer 0201 has should be just possible.

[0025] Employment information offer equipment assumes that from which DTAX (domestic-air-traffic information processing relay system), FIS (flight information system) of each airline, etc. can acquire the operation situation and spot operating condition of the aircraft on the day.

[0026] Although the airline terminal unit 0205 assumes the computer for network clients equipped with input units, such as graphic display, a mouse, and a keyboard, at least, it may receive the modification proposal and point update information which are transmitted from a spot manager side, and may show them to the person in charge by the side of an airline, and other devices are sufficient as it as long as transmission of the response by the side of the airline to a modification proposal is possible. For example, the liquid crystal panel equipped with the switch for a response in which network connection is possible may be used. Even if it is the dedicated device manufactured in order to carry out this invention, the function of the above peripheral devices should just be satisfied.

[0027] With the gestalt of this operation, the allotment plan data 0101, the allotment plan modification proposal 0104, the claim point update information 0105, and the claim point 0106 are stored in the store 0202. Furthermore, the program performed in each processing section is stored in storage 0202. Storage 0202 may be one storage physically, or may be two or more storage here. Moreover, employment information offer equipment 0206 and the requiring agency reply data 0103 are transmitted for the employment information 0102 through a network from the airline terminal unit 0205 on the day, respectively.

[0028] The DS of spot allotment plan data is shown in drawing 3. Each line of a table expresses the spot allocation to a spot demand and it the item -- the order from the left -- "the serial number of a demand", "the airline of a requiring agency", an "inbound flight name", the "ETA" and an "outbound flight name", the "ETD", and an "allocation spot" -- it comes out. For example, the party eye of drawing means that Airline AA parks the aircraft of its company at an apron to spot \*\* from 10:30 to 11:55. Thus, allocation of a parking spot is performed in advance in allotment plan creation business to all the aircrafts using an airport, and it is collected as allotment plan data 0101.

[0029] The employment Monitoring Department 0111 supervises whether based on the allotment plan data 0101, there are any abnormalities in the employment information 0102 on the day transmitted through a network from employment information offer equipments, such as DTAX and FIS, and transmits the use situation of a spot to a person in charge serially through the output section 0112. The employment information 0102 includes spot beginning-of-using / termination information on (1) aircraft, the modification information on the operation schedule of (2) aircrafts, and the modification information on the work program under (3) parking at least on the day. Drawing 4 is a chart format which is an example of the transfer format of a spot use situation. The axis of abscissa of drawing expresses the time zone on the 1st, and an axis of ordinate expresses the spot in an airport. The part in which the part to which the mask 0402 was

given does not have a part [ finishing / operation ] and a mask expresses a part for the Planning Department. The white bar in a chart means a spot demand, for example, a bar 0401 can be read if the aircraft of Airline CC uses spot \*\* from 12:30 to 14:00. Moreover, left-hand side is [ an inbound flight name and the right-hand side of the notation drawn on the interior of each bar ] outbound flight names. The boundary parts of a mask part and a part without a mask mean current time, and move rightward with transition of time amount in the chart top.

[0030] The employment monitor business 0111 not only transmits a spot use situation to a person in charge, but performs the check of whether there is any trouble in future planned execution. As for drawing 5, operation of the aircraft shows an example of the situation which trouble produced to turbulence and planned execution in a chart format. Arrival delay occurs to the facilities of Airline AA for weather aggravation, and since the ETD of the outbound flight which uses the same equipments in connection with it is also overdue, the arrow head of drawing means being back postponed by the parking time amount of spot \*\*. Consequently, the way things stand, the spot demand and interference of BB company which are due to use the same spot will be produced.

[0031] Drawing 6 is an example of the planned modification proposal to the operation turbulence of drawing 5. The spot demand of CC company assigned to spot \*\* is moved to spot \*\*, and a spot demand of AA leading to modification is moved to spot \*\*. Thereby, generating of interference is avoidable. Moreover, drawing 7 is another example of the planned modification proposal to the operation turbulence of drawing 5. The spot demand of BB company assigned to spot \*\* is moved to spot \*\*, and a spot demand of AA leading to modification is moved to spot \*\*. Thereby, generating of interference is avoidable like the point. Thus, generally two or more planned modification proposals exist. In the above-mentioned example, although some modification proposals are considered besides two examples shown previously, they need migration of any spot demand nearby [ many ]. Two previous modification proposals are modification proposals with few jobs (spot demand) which change resource (spot) allocation so that clearly from drawing 5.

[0032] The event leading to planned modification is partly considered besides the turbulence of operation shown previously. Delay of the activity under parking that departure time interferes with delay and a consecutive spot demand by the carrying-in activity of a load being prolonged from a schedule is the typical example.

[0033] Although two or more modification proposals were generally considered as mentioned above, when abnormalities occurred, in the conventional spot management, the person in charge was creating the modification proposal manually based on experience in consideration of various conditions. On the other hand, in this invention, when the employment Monitoring Department 0111 detects abnormalities, while a controller 0114 is started and a controller 0114 creates a modification proposal automatically through the modification proposal creation section 0115, it communicates with the terminal unit by the side of an airline, and a modification proposal is adjusted semi-automatically.

[0034] Below, actuation of the controller 0114 in this example is explained using the processing flow of drawing 8. First, in step 0801, modification proposal creation processing is performed through the modification proposal creation section 0115 of drawing 1, and the allotment plan modification proposal 0104 of drawing 1 is obtained. The DS of an allotment plan modification proposal is the same as that of the allotment plan data 0101 of drawing 1.

[0035] The outline of this processing is explained using the flow of drawing 9. In step 0901, the spot demand which caused modification first is given to drawing, and it is temporarily set to X. The spot demand leading to modification produces other spot demands and interference, as a result of the time of day of arrival or a start being changed. In the example [ drawing 5 ] of operation turbulence, a spot demand "AA10-AA11" of AA is the cause of modification. Next, in a spot 0902, other interference moves the spot demand X to fewest spots. It means that there are few spot demands which overlap that there is least interference. If there is an empty spot which can arrange X without lapping with other spot demands at all, it will serve as fewest spots of interference.

[0036] In the case of the example of drawing 5, although the candidates of a migration place are

spot \*\*, \*\*, and \*\*, wherever it may move X, interference is produced with one spot demand, respectively. However, in order to move the spot demand which produced interference to other spot demands by subsequent processings, an operation settled or the spot which produces the spot demand which cannot move, and interference since it is under operation is excepted from a candidate.

[0037] If X is moved to spot \*\* in the case of the example of drawing 5, since it is under parking now and a spot demand and interference of BB company while doing a ground activity are caused (it is known since the mask is applied to the middle), spot \*\* will be excepted from a migration place. In addition, when there are size of the aircraft which can be parked at an apron, a class of facilities, and conditions of an usable time zone, the number of the candidates of a migration place is narrowed down based on those conditions. In the example of drawing 5,

supposing there are no conditions of candidate narrowing-down \*\*\*\*\* a candidate will become spot \*\* or \*\*. Any one of the candidates of these is chosen, and X is moved. Next, in step 0903, as a result of moving X, it is confirmed whether interference arose or not. When there is no interference, since the modification proposal which can be carried out was obtained, processing is ended. When interference arises, it progresses to step 0904, all spot demands of those other than a modification cause that have produced interference in the plan are collected, and it is considered as Set S, the spot demand which produced interference when X was moved to spot \*\* in the example of drawing 5 -- a spot demand "CC10-CC11" of CC company -- it is only one and the set of the single element which makes this an element is set to S. Next, in step 0905, an element is set into one drawing from Set S, and it is temporarily set to Y. At step 0906, as previously carried out to X, other interference moves Y to fewest spots. At step 0907, the spot demand Y which moved is excepted from Set S. At step 0908, it inspects whether S is empty class, and if it is not empty class, it will return to step 0905 again. If S is empty class, it will progress to step 0909, and it is confirmed whether a new interference arose by migration of Y. When a new interference arises, the dissolution of return and interference is again continued to step 0904. However, in creating Set S anew in step 0904, it excepts all spot demands (the modification cause X is included) that moved from the candidate for creation. Since the modification proposal which can be carried out was obtained when there was no interference, processing is ended.

[0038] In this modification proposal creation processing, the modification proposal which can be carried out by moving the spot demand which interference produced to another spot so that a new interference may not arise as much as possible is created. Therefore, thereby, there are as much as possible few amounts of modification, namely, the number of spot demands which moves can create the fewest possible modification proposals. In addition, since two or more migration places of a spot demand generally exist at step 0902 or step 0905, two or more modification proposals by replacing how choosing the migration place with, and carrying out multiple-times activation of the above-mentioned processing are created. Especially a convention is defined neither about how to choose a migration place nor the number of a modification proposal to create. Moreover, it does not limit to the above-mentioned processing, and meta-heuristics, such as the mathematical technique, such as linear programming and a network-planning method, SHIMYURE Ted annealing, and a genetic algorithm, etc. may apply other scheduling technique, and the modification proposal creation processing itself may build the modification proposal creation processing with possible few amounts of modification.

[0039] Explanation of return adjustment processing is continued to drawing 8. At step 0802, the claim point update information 0105 is computed through the claim point update information calculation section 0116 of drawing 1 based on the modification proposal obtained by previous modification proposal creation processing. The claim point update information calculation section 0116 is a part which manages the are recording and updating of the claim point 0106, computes the claim point update information about the target modification proposal using the current claim point, and returns a result to a controller. The example of the claim point is shown in drawing 10. The claim point is a numeric value given to each airline which is the demand origin of a spot demand.

[0040] In CC company, in the example of drawing 10, BB company has [ AA ] the 39-point claim

point 48 point 58 point. In addition, the notation in drawing "AL" means an airline (Air Line). The claim points are the frequency where set in the past modification proposal creation like the adjustment fault of the frequency which (1) airline caused planned modification, the contents and the frequency which covered the effect of (2) planned modification (migration of a spot demand), its contents, and (3) modification proposals, and the claim was accepted, and the numeric value which fluctuate based on the contents, and it is the barometer which plans the degree of the fairness between airlines intuitively. For example, the point of the airline which caused a lifting and planned creation in many operation turbulence, activity delay, etc. in the past in many cases is relatively low, and the airline which moved the spot demand of its company to other spots in many cases for the operation turbulence of the other company etc. has the conversely expensive point. Moreover, the point of the airline which did not accept the modification proposal created in the spot administration becomes low. When the difference of the claim point of each company is small, it means that each company has received fair treatment in creation of a modification proposal. Conversely, if the difference of the claim point is large, it means that unfairness has arisen. In other words, as for the high airline, the claim point has covered disadvantageous profit relatively, and the claim point means conversely that the low airline has received advantageous treatment as compared with the other company.

[0041] The example of point update information is shown in drawing 11. Point update information consists of standard deviation of change of the point airline which moves spot in modification proposal modification before, and after modification, and the whole claim point after modification. Drawing 1111 expresses the result of having evaluated the modification proposal shown in drawing 6, based on the claim point of drawing 10. By carrying out this proposal shows that the points of AA which is a cause airline which caused modification decrease in number, and the claim point of CC shrine which moves a spot for the operation turbulence by AA increases conversely. Since the remaining airline BB companies do not have fluctuation of the point, this reduces the difference of the claim point of each company clearly as compared with modification before. Thus, the claim points of the airline which became the cause which causes modification in claim point update information calculation processing decrease in number, after computing the point after modification so that the claim point of the airline which receives effect by modification may increase conversely, the standard deviation of the whole claim point is calculated and a result is returned to a controller.

[0042] The outline of claim point update information calculation processing is explained using the processing flow shown in drawing 12. First, at step 1201, all spot demands included in a plan are collected, and it is considered as Set S. At step 1202, a spot demand is given to one drawing from S, and it is temporarily set to X. At step 1203, the spot assigned to X in the current plan is acquired, and it is temporarily set to p1. At step 1204, the spot assigned to X in the modification proposal is acquired, and it is temporarily set to p2. At step 1205, it is confirmed whether p1 and p2 are equal. When p1 and p2 are equal, since modification of the point is unnecessary, it deletes X from Set S and progresses to step 1212. When p1 differs from p2, it progresses to step 1206, and the airline of the demand origin of X is taken out, and it is temporarily set to A. [0043] At step 1207, it investigates whether A is already registered into update information. If A is registered, it will go to step 1209 directly. In not registering, it progresses to step 1209, after registering A into update information in step 1208. In the case of registration, the point before changing into the initial value of the point after modification is set up. At step 1209, it investigates whether X is a spot demand of the cause of modification, and if it is the cause of modification, the point after updating of A will be subtracted in step 1211. When A is not the cause of modification, the point after updating of A is added in step 1210. Also when it progresses to which of step 1210 and step 1211, after processing progresses to step 1212 and deletes X from Set S. It progresses to step 1213 after that, and investigates whether Set S is empty class. If it is not empty class, progress processing will be again repeated to step 1202. In the case of empty class, it progresses to step 1214, it calculates the standard deviation of the claim point after modification, and ends processing.

[0044] Although especially the concrete numeric value of an increment and reduction of the point in step 1210 and step 1211 is not specified, the regular point is deducted from a

modification cause airline, and you may make it distribute the point to the airline which covered effect equally. Moreover, the value to deduct may define the number of the airlines which affected it instead of a fixed value etc. in proportion to a certain scale which measures the magnitude of effect. Moreover, distribution of the point may be proportioned in the magnitude of the effect which it was not equal and was covered. It is defined as the magnitude of effect having so large that it being changed into the spot left distantly based on the physical distance of the original spot and the spot after modification effect etc.

[0045] Drawing 13 is the result of computing claim point update information to the modification proposal of drawing 6 and drawing 7 to the operation turbulence of drawing 5 using the claim point of drawing 10. Here, we deducted ten points from the cause airline, and decided to distribute the point to the influenced airline equally.

[0046] It is \*\*\*\*\* or \*\* by which fairness is improved from drawing 13 whichever both standard deviation has become small as for the proposal 1 and the proposal 2 and they choose a modification proposal compared with modification before. Especially, the standard deviation of a proposal 1 is sharply small compared with 1.5 and modification before, and the extensive improvement of fairness can be expected rather than a proposal 2.

[0047] Explanation of return and adjustment processing is continued to drawing 8. After computing point update information to a modification proposal at step 0802, one modification proposal shown to an airline in step 0803 is chosen. The proposal chosen here chooses the thing which has the smallest standard deviation of the claim point included in update information, i.e., the proposal that the improvement of fairness is the biggest, (however, a thing [ finishing / presentation ] is removed to an airline). Next, in step 0804, the modification proposal chosen as the terminal unit (0205 of drawing 2) of a related airline and its claim point update information are transmitted through a network. In the terminal unit side of an airline, the received information is displayed on a person in charge, and it looks for the decision. In response, a person in charge chooses either "acceptance" or "refusal", and transmits to spot management equipment through a network. The requiring agency reply data 0103 of drawing 1 total the reply from the airline side obtained by the inquiry. In a spot management equipment side, branching is judged at step 0805 based on requiring agency reply data. When the consent from all related airlines is obtained, the modification proposal which progressed to step 0807 and was chosen is decided with a formal proposal, and this is notified to its related posts, such as an airline and oil supply service. From all airlines, when consent is not obtained, it progresses to step 0806. At step 0806, the count of accumulation of the refusal which can be set like the identifier and adjustment fault of marking, i.e., an applicable airline, to the airline which notified the reply of "refusal" is stored in the exclusive field of storage. The purport to which the claim points decrease in number by refusal of a modification proposal through a network with it is notified to the terminal unit of an applicable airline. And return and the modification proposal which the airline was shown previously are again set as step 0803 a presentation settled, and a modification proposal is chosen again.

[0048] After deciding a modification proposal in step 0807, in step 0808, the claim point is updated through the renewal section 0117 of the claim point of drawing 1, and the whole adjustment processing is ended.

[0049] The contents of the claim point update process are explained using the processing flow Fig. of drawing 14. First, from the claim point update information of the modification proposal decided in step 1401, all the airlines included there are assembled and it is considered as Set S. Next, in step 1402, an airline is set into one drawing from S, and it is temporarily set to X. At step 1403, it changes into the value after updating the claim point of Airline X according to update information. At step 1404, X is removed from S and S judges whether it is empty class in step 1405. In empty class, when there is nothing, renewal of the return point is again continued to step 1402. When S is empty class, it progresses to step 1406. At step 1406, subtraction processing of the claim point is performed to all the airlines that did marking in the process of adjustment processing. Although especially the detail of subtraction processing is not specified, a fixed value may be subtracted uniformly and you may make it subtract a big numeric value in proportion to the count of refusal. The whole point update process is ended now. As mentioned



above, an adjustment fault seasons the claim point update information of the settled modification proposal with (marking to an airline), and the claim point is updated.

[0050] Next, the 2nd example of this invention is explained using drawing 17 from drawing 15 R>. The 2nd operation gestalt is equipped with the means for which the person in charge of the airline which has the hope of correction to a modification proposal, and the person in charge of spot management negotiate directly with an image and voice in the process in which a modification proposal is adjusted. The functional configuration of the 2nd operation gestalt extends the functional configuration of the 1st operation gestalt, as shown in drawing 15. In drawing 15, a controller 0114 is the processing section similar to the thing of the same sign of drawing 1. An image and voice data 1501 accumulate speech information in the image list incorporated by the photography section 1511, and transmits it to an airline terminal unit (0205 of drawing 2) through a network. In addition, it has all the configuration sections with which the 1st operation gestalt shown in drawing 1 is equipped.

[0051] However, in addition to the information about the monitor from the employment Monitoring Department 0111 and a controller 0114, and adjustment, the output section 0112 also transmits the image and voice data from the photography section 1511 to a person in charge through a controller 0114 like the 1st operation gestalt. Moreover, although the contents of processing of a controller 0114 differ from the 1st operation gestalt, about this, the detail is explained later. Other parts are the same as that of the 1st operation gestalt.

[0052] As shown in drawing 16, the configuration of the 2nd operation gestalt extends the configuration of the 1st operation gestalt, and connects a camera 1601, a microphone 1602, and a loudspeaker 1603 to the same computer as the computer 0201 of drawing 2. Although the camera 1601 assumes what can photo an animation, it may photo a static image.

[0053] Moreover, the airline terminal unit 0205 of drawing 2 was equipped with input devices, such as graphic display, a mouse, and a keyboard, upwards, is equipped with a camera, a microphone, and a loudspeaker, and assumes the computer which can transmit and receive an image and voice data and by which network connection was carried out, a liquid crystal notice panel, etc. The specification of other devices is the same as that of the 1st operation gestalt of this invention.

[0054] Hereafter, the contents of processing of the controller 0114 in the 2nd example of this invention are explained using the processing flow Fig. of drawing 17.

[0055] First, the same processing as the adjustment processing of the 1st operation gestalt which shows step 0805 in drawing 8 from initiation is followed. At step 0805, it confirms whether have agreed with the modification proposal which all related airlines chose, when all consent is obtained, it progresses to step 0807 of drawing 8, and the rest follows the same processing as the flow of drawing 8. The person in charge of the airline which all consent progressed to step 1701 when not obtained, and has not agreed, and the person in charge of spot management perform direct negotiation, exchanging an image and speech information through a network using a camera microphone, respectively. In correcting a modification proposal as a result of negotiation with an airline as a result of direct negotiation, in step 1702, it corrects a modification proposal manually using input units, such as a mouse and a keyboard. If there is no need for correction, nothing will be performed here. Next, in step 0802, the claim point update information of the corrected modification proposal is computed. This is the same as that of processing of drawing 12 explained previously. At step 1703, a negotiation partner's airline is again notified of the corrected modification proposal and its claim point update information. At step 1704, branching decision of processing is performed based on the answerback from a negotiation partner. When a negotiation partner's airline does not agree with a modification proposal, it returns to direct negotiation of step 1701 again. When the negotiation partner has agreed, it progresses to step 1705 and marking of a negotiation partner's airline is carried out only within the case where a manual correction arises. However, in a predetermined case, for example, when what has correction unavoidable at a certain reason, and a spot management person in charge accept, you may enable it to cancel marking manually here. The notice of re-of return and the corrected modification proposal is again performed to step 0804 after that.

[0056] Next, the 3rd example of this invention is explained using drawing 18 R> 8 and drawing

19. The 3rd operation gestalt is equipped with a means to draw up the prior plan of spot allocation used as the base of a spot employment monitor in consideration of the claim point of each aeronautical-navigation company. Rather than the airline which there was little claim point, i.e., received advantageous treatment in the process of the past planned modification and its adjustment correctly, there is much claim point, namely, the airlines which covered disadvantageous profit draw up a plan to have been treated more favorably.

[0057] The functional configuration of the 3rd operation gestalt extends the functional configuration of the 1st operation gestalt, as shown in drawing 18. In drawing 18, the claim point 0106, the allotment plan data 0101, and the employment Monitoring Department 0111 are the same processing sections as the thing of the same sign of drawing 1. In drawing up a prior plan, basic data 1801 stores the spot use hope of a spot demand of various required data and each aeronautical-navigation company, the service condition of a spot, and an airline at least, and it is used for it as input data of the prior planned creation processing in the planned creation section 1811. In addition, it has all the configuration sections with which the 1st operation gestalt shown in drawing 1 R> 1 is equipped. The configuration of the 3rd operation gestalt has the same function as the configuration of the 1st operation gestalt.

[0058] Hereafter, the contents of the prior planned creation processing in the 3rd example of this invention are explained using the processing flow Fig. of drawing 19.

[0059] First, in step 1901, all the airlines for a plan are assembled and it is considered as Set S. At step 1902, each airline in Set S is sorted according to the claim point which it has now. At step 1903, the head element (namely, element of max [ point ]) of a sort result is set into drawing, and it is temporarily set to X. At step 1904, a requiring agency extracts altogether the spot demand which is X from input data, and considers it as Set H. Next, in step 1905, a spot demand is given to drawing from Set H, and it is temporarily set to Y at one arbitration. Out of the spot which fills with step 1906 the spot service condition stored in the basic data 1801, such as classification of the size of a spot, a location, an usable time zone, and facilities, to the spot demand Y and which can be assigned, while satisfying the spot use hope of Airline X as much as possible, generating of interference chooses the one fewest possible spot, and assigns the spot to the spot demand Y.

[0060] At step 1907, it investigates whether interference occurs by allocation. When there is no interference, it progresses to step 1909 directly. When interference arises, before progressing to step 1909, in step 1908, interference is avoided by changing the plan in the middle of creation partially. This processing is realized by applying modification proposal creation processing of drawing 9 which explained the allotment plan Y and for modification for the spot demand of the cause of modification previously as a plan in the middle of the present creation. Thereby, interference can be avoided, without changing the present plan as much as possible. the assigned spot demand Y in step 1909 -- Set H -- it removes cluttering.

[0061] At step 1910, Set H investigates whether it is empty class. When it is not empty class, since the non-assigned spot demand remains, it returns to step 1905 again and processing is continued. Since all spot demands of Airline's X demand origin are allocation settled, in the case of empty class, X is removed from Set S, and it progresses to step 1912. At step 1912, Set S investigates whether it is empty class. Since the unsettled airline remains when it is not empty class, it returns to step 1903 again and processing is continued. In the case of empty class, since the predetermined airline was processed and the allotment plan was obtained, the whole processing is ended.

[0062] Since priority is given from the high airline of the claim point and a spot is assigned by the above-mentioned processing, a prior plan advantageous to the airline which covered disadvantageous profit in the process of the past planned modification and its adjustment can be drawn up. In addition, the procedure of prior planned creation processing is not limited to this, and as long as planned creation equivalent to this is possible, meta-heuristics, such as the mathematical technique, such as linear programming and a network-planning method, SHIMYURE Ted annealing, and a genetic algorithm, etc. may be built with the application of other scheduling technique.

[0063] Next, the 4th example of this invention is explained using drawing 20 R> 0 and drawing



21.

[0064] The 3rd operation gestalt is equipped with a means to compute accounting to having used the spot, i.e., the parking tariff of a spot, in consideration of the claim point of each aeronautical-navigation company. It computes a parking tariff by there being much claim point, namely, discounting the parking tariff of the airline which covered disadvantageous profit rather than the airline which there was little claim point, i.e., received advantageous treatment in the process of the past planned modification and its adjustment correctly.

[0065] The functional configuration of the 4th operation gestalt extends the functional configuration of the 1st operation gestalt, as shown in drawing 20. In drawing 20, the claim point 0106 and the employment Monitoring Department 0111 are the same processing sections as the thing of the same sign of drawing 1. An investment return 2001 accumulates the spot operating experience of employment that day transmitted from the employment Monitoring Department. In addition, the data format of an investment return is the same as allotment plan data (0101 of drawing 1). After considering the claim point based on the spot operating experience of each aeronautical-navigation company, the resource dues calculation section 2011 computes a resource, i.e., the toll of a spot, and stores the result in accounting information 2002. Accounting information is information required in order to publish the bill of spot dues to each aeronautical-navigation company, and contains the spot time for every airline, the toll, the rate of discount/premium, etc. In addition, it has all the configuration sections with which the 1st operation gestalt shown in drawing 1 is equipped. The configuration of the 4th operation gestalt has the same function as the configuration of the 1st operation gestalt.

[0066] Hereafter, the contents of the resource toll calculation processing in the 4th example of this invention are explained using the processing flow Fig. of drawing 21.

[0067] First, in step 2101, all the airlines for accounting are assembled and it is considered as Set S. At step 2102, an airline is set into drawing from Set S, and it is temporarily set to X at one arbitration. At step 2103, the total spot time of Airline X is computed with reference to an investment return, and this is temporarily set to T. At step 2104, the charge of basic parking of a spot is computed based on the total spot time. Generally, the charge of spot parking is decided according to the die length of parking time amount. The class of aircraft which used the spot depending on the case etc. may be taken into consideration. Therefore, the detail of this processing follows the Ruhr of the airport for application.

[0068] next -- step 2105 -- the claim point of Airline X -- acquiring -- it -- using -- step 2106 -- setting -- application -- rate R (%) is computed, application -- a rate -- R is set to either of a discount rate, i.e., less than 100% of value, and the rate of a premium, i.e., 100% or more of value, according to the claim point. although the calculation approach does not carry out especially a convention -- the claim point -- relative -- application of a high airline -- a rate -- relative -- application of a low airline -- it is contingent [on becoming surely smaller than a rate] for example, the approach described below -- application -- a rate is computed. (1) Compute the average of the claim point of an airline. (2) When the point of the airline for calculation is lower than the average, consider as a premium, when conversely higher than the average, consider as discount, and compute a rate in proportion to the magnitude of the distance (rate of deviation) from the average.

[0069] application -- after computing rate R (%), in step 2107, the parking tariff of Airline X is calculated as x (minimum charge) (application rate), and it accumulates in accounting information. At step 2108, X is removed from Set S and it investigates whether the element remains in Set S at step 2109. When the element still remains, return tariff calculation is continued to step 2102. Processing is ended when tariff calculation is performed about a predetermined element. According to the gestalt of the 1st - the 4th operation mentioned above, the point is given to each demand origin as a barometer which plans the degree of the fairness of job demand origin. Under convention that the job demand origin which other resource modification whose job demand origin leading to modification reduces the point produced increases the point. Since the point gap chose preferentially the fair modification proposal by which it is shortened most, the claim frequency from job demand origin can be reduced, and the load in planned modification of a person in charge can be reduced.

[0070] Moreover, since the modification proposal with few amounts of modification from the present plan was created preferentially, similarly the claim frequency from job demand origin can be reduced, and the load in planned modification of a person in charge can be reduced.

[0071] Moreover, since it was made to perform creation and adjustment of a modification proposal semi-automatically, a person's in charge load can be reduced.

[0072] Moreover, adjustment between persons in charge can be more flexibly performed by having established the direct negotiation means using an image and speech information.

[0073] Moreover, it has a means to draw up the prior plan of resource allocation, and since it decided to draw up the allotment plan to which the point gave priority to job demand origin with much point over little job demand origin, fairer resource allocation management can be performed.

[0074] Moreover, since it decided to compute a resource toll by having a means to compute the toll of a resource and the point discounting the tariff of premium and job demand origin with much point for the tariff of little job demand origin, same more fair resource allocation management can be performed.

[0075]

[Effect of the Invention] according to this invention -- \*\*\*\* -- it becomes possible to perform allocation and modification of a resource efficiently.

[Translation done.]

\* NOTICES \*

JPO and NCIP are not responsible for any damages caused by the use of this translation.

- 1.This document has been translated by computer. So the translation may not reflect the original precisely.
- 2.\*\*\* shows the word which can not be translated.
- 3.In the drawings, any words are not translated.

DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

[Brief Description of the Drawings]

- [Drawing 1] It is the whole spot managerial system block diagram in this invention which is the gestalt of the 1st operation.
- [Drawing 2] It is drawing showing the configuration in this invention which realizes the gestalt of the 1st operation.
- [Drawing 3] It is drawing showing the DS of the allotment plan data 0101 in drawing 1.
- [Drawing 4] It is drawing having shown the spot allocation situation in the chart format.
- [Drawing 5] It is drawing having shown the example of the operation turbulence generated during an employment monitor in the chart format.
- [Drawing 6] It is drawing showing an example of the planned modification proposal to the operation turbulence of drawing 5 in a chart format.
- [Drawing 7] It is drawing showing another example of the planned modification proposal to the operation turbulence of drawing 5 in a chart format.
- [Drawing 8] It is the detail block diagram of the controller 0114 in drawing 1.
- [Drawing 9] It is drawing showing the processing flow of the modification proposal creation processing 0801 in drawing 8.
- [Drawing 10] It is drawing showing the DS of the claim point 0103 in drawing 1.
- [Drawing 11] It is drawing showing the DS of the claim point update information 0104 in drawing 1.
- [Drawing 12] It is drawing showing the processing flow of the claim point update information calculation processing 0802 in drawing 8.
- [Drawing 13] It is drawing showing the example of application of claim point update information calculation processing.
- [Drawing 14] It is drawing showing the processing flow of the claim point update process 0808 in drawing 8.
- [Drawing 15] It is drawing in this invention showing the 2nd configuration of the spot managerial system of the gestalt of operation.
- [Drawing 16] It is drawing showing the configuration in this invention which realizes the gestalt of the 2nd operation.
- [Drawing 17] It is drawing in this invention showing the processing flow of adjustment processing of the gestalt of the 2nd operation. <BR> [Drawing 18] It is drawing in this invention showing the 3rd configuration of the spot managerial system of the gestalt of operation.
- [Drawing 19] It is drawing showing the processing flow of the prior planned creation section 1811 of drawing 18.
- [Drawing 20] It is drawing about the configuration of the spot managerial system of the gestalt of the 4th operation in this invention.
- [Drawing 21] It is drawing showing the processing flow of the resource dues calculation section 2002 of drawing 20.
- [Description of Notations]
- 0101: Allotment plan data, 0102:that day employment information, 0103:demand former reply data, a 0104:allotment plan modification proposal, 0105:claim point update information, the

0106:claim point, the 0111:employment Monitoring Department, the 0112:output section, the 0113:input section, a 0114:controller, 0115:modification proposal creation section, the 0116:claim point update information calculation section, 0117 : renewal section of the claim point

[Translation done.]





起こした頻度とその内容、(2)計画変更の影響（スポット要求の移動）を被った頻度とその内容、(3)変更後の調整過程においてクレームが受け入れられた頻度とその内容、に基づいて算出する数値であり、直感的には航空会社の公平さの度合いを図るパラメータである。例えば、過去において運航乱れや作業遅延等を数多く起こし、計画作成の原因となり、他社の運航乱れ等のために自社のスポット要求を他のスポットへ移動することが多かった航空会社は逆にポイントが高い。また、スポット管理部門で作成した変更要求を受け入れた航空会社のポイントも低い。航空会社のポイントの差が小さい場合は、変更後の状況において各社が公平な扱いを受けていることを意味する。逆にクレームポイントの差が大きければ不公平が生じていることを表す。言い換えると、クレームポイントが相対的に高い航空会社は不利な状況にあり、逆にクレームポイントが他社と比較して低い航空会社は有利な扱いを受けていることを意味する。

【0041】図1にポイント更新情報の例を示す。ポイント更新情報は、変更前においてスポットを移動する航空会社の変更前と変更後のポイントの変化と、変更後のクレームポイント全体の標準偏差から構成される。図1は、図10のクレームポイントに基づいて、図6に示す変更を評価した結果を起している。この結果を要約することにより、変更を引き起こした原因航空会社であるA社のポイントが減少し、A社の運航乱れのためにスポットを移動するC社のクレームポイントが増加することがわかる。残りの航空会社B社はポイントの移動がなかったため、これにより変更前と比較して各社のクレームポイントの差は明らかに縮小する。このように、クレームポイント更新情報処理では、変更を引き起こす原因となった航空会社のクレームポイントが減少し、変更によって影響を受ける航空会社のクレームポイントが増加するように変更後のポイントを算出した上で、クレームポイント全体の標準偏差を計算して調整部に結果を返す。

【0042】図12に示す処理フローを用いて、クレームポイント更新情報算出処理の概要を説明する。まずステップ1201では、計画に含まれる全てのスポット要求を集め、それを集合Sとする。ステップ1202ではSからスポット要求を1つ取り出し、それを仮にXとすると、ステップ1203では、現在の計画においてXに相当するXに相当するXを抽出し、それを仮にpとすると、ステップ1204では、変更を仮にpとすると、ステップ1205では、pとqが等しいかどうかをチェックする。pとqが等しい場合にはポイントの変更は必要なので、集合SからXを削除してステップ1212へ進む。pとqが異なる場合にはステップ1206に進み、Xの要求元の航空会社を抽出してそれを仮にと

する。  
【0043】ステップ1207では、Aが更新情報に照らして登録されているかどうかを調べる。Aが登録済ならばステップ1208へ直接進む。未登録の場合は、ステップ1208においてAを更新情報に登録した後にステップ1209へ進む。登録の際には、変更後ポイントの初期値に変更前のポイントを設定する。ステップ1209では、Xが変更原因のスポット要求であるかどうかを調べ、変更原因であるならばステップ1211において航空会社の更新後ポイントを設定する。Aが変更原因でない場合は、ステップ1210においてAの更新後ポイントを加算する。ステップ1210、ステップ1211のどちらに進んだ場合も、処理後はステップ1212へ進む。集合SからXを削除する。その後はステップ1213へ進む。集合Sが空集合であるかどうかを調べ、空集合でなければ再びステップ1209へ進み処理を繰り返す。空集合の場合はステップ1214に進み、変更後のクレームポイントの標準偏差を計算して処理を終了する。

【0044】ステップ1210とステップ1211におけるポイントの増加・減少の具体的な数値は特に規定しないが、変更原因航空会社から規定のポイントを差し引き、影響を受けた航空会社にそのポイントを均等に分配するようにしてもよい。また、差し引き値は固定値ではなく、影響を与えた航空会社の数や、影響の大きさを両者の何らかの尺度に比例して定めてもよい。また、ポイントの分配は均等ではなく、被った影響の大きさに比例させてもよい。影響の大きさは、例えば、元のスポットと変更後のスポットの物理的距離に基づいて、遠く離れたスポットに変更になる程影響が大きい等と定義する。

【0045】図13は、図10のクレームポイントを用いて、図5の運航乱れに対する図6及び図7の変更案に対してクレームポイント更新情報を算出した結果である。ここで、原因航空会社からは10ポイントを引き、影響を受けた航空会社にそのポイントを均等に分配することとした。

【0046】図13より、案1と案2は変更前と比べて標準偏差が共に小さくなっており、どちらの変更案を選択しても公平性が改善されることわかる。特に案1の標準偏差は1.5と変更前に比べて大幅に小さく、案2よりも公平性の大幅な改善を期待できる。

【0047】図8に戻り、調整処理の説明を続ける。ステップ0802で変更案に対してポイント更新情報を算出した後に、ステップ0803において航空会社に提示する変更案を1つ選択する。ここで選択する際は、更新情報に含まれるクレームポイントの標準偏差が最も小さいもの、すなわち公平性の改善が最も大きな案を選択する（ただし航空会社に対して提示済みのものを除く）。次にステップ0804において、ネットワークを介して、関係する航空会社の端末装置（図2の0205）に、選択した変更案とそのクレームポイント更新情報を送信する。航空会社の端

る、変更原因以外のスポット要求を全て集めてそれを集合Sとする。図5の例においてXをスポット②に移動した場合、干渉を生じたスポット要求はC社のスポット要求「C010-C011」であり、これを要素とする単一要素の集合をSとする。次にステップ0905において集合Sから要素を1つ取り出し、それを仮にYとすると、ステップ0906では、先にYに対しておこなったように、Yを他との干渉が最も少ないスポットに移動する。ステップ0907では、移動したスポット要求を集合Sから除外する。ステップ0908では、Sが空集合であるかどうかを判定し、空集合でなければ再びステップ0905へ戻る。Sが空集合ならばステップ0909へ進む。Yの移動によって新たな干渉が生じなかったかどうかをチェックする。新たな干渉が生じた場合には再びステップ0904に戻り、干渉の解消を繰り返す。ただしステップ0904において改めて集合Sを作成する場合に、移動した全てのスポット要求（変更原因Xを含む）を作成対象から除外する。干渉がない場合には実施可能な変更案が得られたので処理を終了する。

【0038】この変更案作成処理では、干渉が生じたスポット要求を、新たな干渉でできるだけ生じないように別のスポットへ移動することで実施可能な変更案の作成をおこなう。したがって、これにより変更量がでるだけ少ない、すなわち移動するスポット要求の数がでるだけ少ない変更案を作成することができる。なお、ステップ0902やステップ0905ではスポット要求の移動先が一般には複数存在するので、その移動先の選び方を代えて上記処理を増加回数実行することでは数値の変更案を作成する。移動先の選び方や作成する変更案の回数については特に規定は定めない。また、変更案作成処理自体も上記の処理に限定するものではなく、線形計画法やネットワーク計画法等の数理的手法、シミュレーション、ダイナミクス等、他のスケジューリング手法を応用して変更量ができるだけ少ない変更案作成処理を構築してよい。

【0039】図8に、戻り調整処理の説明を続ける。ステップ0802では、先の変更案作成処理で得られた変更案に基づいて、図1のクレームポイント更新情報算出部116を介してクレームポイント更新情報0105を算出する。クレームポイント更新情報算出部116は、クレームポイント0106の算出と更新を管理する部分であり、現在のクレームポイント更新情報を利用して対象の変更案についてのクレームポイント更新情報を算出し、調整部に結果を返す。図10にクレームポイントの例を示す。クレームポイントは、スポット要求の要求元である航空会社に対して与えられる数値である。

【0040】図10の例では、A社は5.8ポイント、B社は4.6ポイント、C社は3.9ポイントのクレームポイントを持っている。なお図中の記号「AL」とは航空会社（Air Line）を意味する。クレームポイントとは、過去の変更案作成において、(1)航空会社が計画変更を引き

は、その典型的な例である。  
【0033】上記のように変更案は一般的に複数生じれるが、従来のスポット管理においては、異常が発生した場合、担当が様々な条件を考慮して経験に基づき手作業で変更案の作成をおこなっていた。これに対して本発明では、運用監視部0111が異常を検出した場合、調整部0114を起動し、調整部0114が変更案作成部0115を介して変更案を自動的に作成すると共に、航空会社端末装置と通信をおこない、変更案の調整を半自動的にこなす。

【0034】以下では、図8の処理フローを用いて本実施例における調整部0114の動作を説明する。まずステップ0801において、図1の変更案作成部0115を介して変更案作成処理をおこない、図1の計画計画変更案0104を得る。計画計画変更案のデータ構造は、図1の計画計画データ0101と同一である。

【0035】この処理の概要を、図9のフローを用いて説明する。まずステップ0801において、変更原因となったスポット要求を抽出し、それを仮にXとする。変更原因となったスポット要求とは、到着や出発の時刻が変更になった結果、他のスポット要求と干渉を生じたものである。図5の運航乱れの例においては、A社のスポット要求「A10-A11」が変更原因である。次にステップ0802において、スポット要求Xと他の干渉が最も少ないスポットに移動する。干渉が最も少ないとは、重なり合うスポット要求の数が最も少ないことを意味する。他のスポット要求と全く重なり合うXを除外可能なスポットがあるならば、それが干渉の最も少ないスポットとなる。

【0036】図5の例の場合は、移動先の候補はスポット①、④、⑥であるが、Xとどこに移動してもスポット要求1個とそれと干渉を生じる。ただし以降の処理で、干渉を生じたスポット要求を他のスポット要求に移動するため、実施済、もしくは実施済のため移動が不可能なスポット要求と干渉を生じるスポットは候補から除外する。

【0037】図5の場合は、スポット⑥へXを移動すると、現在駐在中で地上作業を実施中のB社のスポット要求と干渉を起こす（マスが途中でかかっていることがわかる）。ことから、スポット⑥は移動先から除外する。これに加えて、駐留可能な航空機のサイズ、他の機材、使用可能時間といった条件がある場合は、それらの条件に基づいて移動先の候補の数を絞り込む。図5の例において、候補数込みの他の条件がないとすると、候補はスポット④となる。これらの候補のどれか1つを選択してXを移動する。次にステップ0803において、Xを移動した結果、干渉が生じたかどうかをチェックする。干渉がない場合には、実施可能な変更案が得られたので処理を終了する。干渉が生じた場合には、ステップ0804へ進む。計画の中で干渉を生じてい



未登録側では、受領した情報に担当者が表示し、その判断を仰ぐ。担当者はこれを受けて、「受領」、もしくは「拒否」のいずれかを選択し、ネットワークを介してスポット管理装置へ送信する。図1の要求元回答データ013は、問い合わせで得られた航空会社側からの回答を集計したものである。ステップ0805で分岐の判断をおこなう。関係する全ての航空会社からの同意が得られた場合には、ステップ0807へ進み、選択した変更案を正式案に決定し、航空会社や給油サービス等の関連部署にこれを通知する。全ての航空会社からは同意が得られなかった場合は、ステップ0806に進み、ステップ0806では、「拒否」の回答を通知した航空会社にはマーク付け、すなわち該当航空会社の名前と調整過程における拒否の累積回数を記憶装置の専用領域に格納する。それと共に、ネットワークを介して、変更の拒絶によりクレームポイントが減少する旨を該当航空会社の端末装置に通知した。そしてステップ0803に再び戻り、先に航空会社に提示した変更案を提示済に設定し、変更案の選択を再びおこなう。

【0048】ステップ0807において変更案を確定した後には、ステップ0808において、図1のクレームポイント更新の117を介してクレームポイントの更新処理をおこなう。調整処理全体を終了する。

【0049】クレームポイント更新処理の内容を図14の処理フロー図を用いて説明する。まずステップ1401において、確定した変更案のクレームポイント更新情報から、そこに含まれる全ての航空会社を収集しそれを集合Sとす。次にステップ1402において、Sから航空会社を1つ取り出し、それを底にSとする。ステップ1403では、更新情報に従って航空会社のクレームポイントを更新後の底に更新する。ステップ1404では、SをSから取り除く。ステップ1403においてSが空集合かどうかを判定する。空集合ではない場合は、ステップ1402に再び戻りポイントの更新を繰り返す。Sが空集合の場合はステップ1406に進む。ステップ1406では、調整処理の過程においてマーク付けした全ての航空会社に対して、そのクレームポイントの減算処理をおこなう。減算処理の詳細は特に規定しないが、一側に固定値を減算しても良いし、拒否の回数に比例して大きな数値を減算するようにしても良い。これによってポイント更新処理の全体を終了する。上記のように、確定した変更案のクレームポイント更新情報に調整過程（航空会社へのマーク付け）を加味してクレームポイントを更新する。

【0050】次に、本発明の第2の実施例について図15から図17を用いて説明する。第2の実施例は、変更案を調整する過程において、変更案に対して修正の希望を持つ航空会社の担当者として、スポット管理の担当者（映像および音声により直接交渉する手段を備えたものである。第2の実施例の機能構成は、図15に示すように、第1の実施例の機能構成を拡張したものである。図15において、調整部0114は、図1の同符号のものと同様の処理部である。映像・音声データ1501は、撮影部1511によって取込まれた映像並びに音声情報を蓄積し、ネットワークを介して航空会社端末装置（図2の0205）に伝送する。この他、図1に示す第1の実施例が備える構成部を全て備える。

【0051】ただし、出力部0112は、第1の実施例と同様に、運用監視部0111と調整部0114からの監視及び調整に関する情報に加えて、撮影部1511からの映像・音声データも調整部0114を介して担当者に伝送する。また、第1の実施例とは調整部0114の処理内容が異なるが、これについては後でその詳細を説明する。その他の部分は第1の実施例と同一である。

交渉手が同意した場合は、ステップ1705に進み、手動修正が生じた場合に限って交渉相手の航空会社をマーク付けする。ただし、所定の場合、例えば、修正が何らかの理由でやむを得ないものとスポット管理担当者が認めた場合には、ここでマーク付けを手動で解除できるようにしても良い。その後は再びステップ0804へ戻り、修正した変更案の再通知をおこなう。

【0056】次に、本発明の第3の実施例について図18と図19を用いて説明する。第3の実施例は、スポット運用監視のベースとなるスポット割当ての事前計画を、航空各社のクレームポイントを考慮して作成する手段を備えたものである。正確には、クレームポイントが少なく、すなわち過去の計画変更及びその調整の過程において有利な扱いを受けた航空会社よりも、クレームポイントが多い、すなわち不利な扱いを受けた航空会社の方がより厳選された計画を作成するものである。

【0057】第3の実施例の機能構成は、図18に示すように、第1の実施例の機能構成を拡張したものである。図18において、クレームポイント0106、割当て面データ0101、運用監視部0111は図1の同符号のものと同一の処理部である。基礎データ801は、事前計画を作成するにあたって必要な各種データ。航空各社のスポット要求、スポットの使用条件、航空会社のスポット使用希望、少なくとも格納し、計画作成部811における事前計画作成処理の力データとして用いる。この他、図1に示す第1の実施例が備える構成部を全て備える。第3の実施例の機能構成は、第1の実施例の機能構成と同一の機能を有するものである。

【0058】以下、図19の処理フロー図を用いて、本発明の第3の実施例における事前計画作成処理の内容を説明する。

【0059】まず、ステップ1901において、計画対象の全ての航空会社を集めてそれを集合Sとする。ステップ1902では、現在持っているクレームポイントに従って集合S内の各航空会社をソートする。ステップ1903では、ソート結果の先頭要素（すなわちポイントが最大の要素）を取り出し、それを底にSとする。ステップ1904では、要求元Xであるスポット要求を入力データから全て抽出し、それを集合Rとする。次にステップ1905において、集合Rからスポット要求を1つ任意に取出し、それを底にSとする。ステップ1906では、スポット要求に対して、スポットのサイズ、位置、使用可能時間帯、便の種別等の基礎データ801に格納されたスポット使用条件を満たす可能なスポットの中から、航空会社のXのスポット使用希望をできるだけ満たすと共に、干渉の発生がでるだけ少ないスポットを1つ選択し、スポット要求にそのスポットを割当てる。

【0060】ステップ1907では、割当てによって干渉が生ずるかどうかを調べる。干渉がない場合にはステップ1909へ直接進み、干渉が生じた場合には、ステップ1909

へ進む前に、ステップ1908において、作成途中の計画を部分的に変更することによって干渉を回避する。この処理は、変更原因のスポット要求を、変更対象の割当て面を現在作成途中の計画として、先に説明した図9の変更作成処理を適用することにより実現する。これにより、現在の計画をできるだけ変えることなしに干渉を回避することができ、ステップ1909では、割当済のスポット要求を集計部から取り除く。

【0061】ステップ1910では、集合が空集合かどうかを調べる。空集合でない場合は、未割当のスポット要求が残っている中でステップ1905に再び戻って処理を継続する。空集合の場合は、航空会社が要求元のスポット要求は全て割当て済みなので、集合からXを取り除きステップ1912へ進む。ステップ1912では、集合Sが空集合かどうかを調べる。空集合でない場合は、未処理の航空会社が残っている中で、ステップ1903へ再び戻って処理を継続する。空集合の場合は、所定の航空会社を処理して割当て計画が得られたので処理全体を終了する。

【0062】上記の処理により、クレームポイントの高い航空会社から優先してスポットの割当てをおこなうため、過去の計画変更及びその調整の過程において不利な扱いを受けた航空会社に有利な事前計画を作成することができ、なお、事前計画作成処理の手順はこれに限るものではなく、これと同等の計画作成が可能であれば、線形計画法やネットワーク計画法等の数理的手法、シミュレーションやニューロネットリングや遺伝的アルゴリズムといったメタヒューリスティクス等、他のスケジューリング手法を適用して構築して良い。

【0063】次に、本発明の第4の実施例について図20と図21を用いて説明する。

【0064】第3の実施例は、スポットを使用し、各社のクレームポイントを考慮して算出する手段を備えたものである。正確には、クレームポイントが少なく、すなわち過去の計画変更及びその調整の過程において有利な扱いを受けた航空会社よりも、クレームポイントが多い、すなわち不利な扱いを受けた航空会社の調整料金をディ

スカウントして調整料金を算出するものである。【0065】第4の実施例の機能構成は、図20に示すように、第1の実施例の機能構成を拡張したものである。図20において、クレームポイント0106、運用監視部0111は、図1の同符号のものと同一の処理部である。運用監視部0111は、運用監視部から送られる運用当日のスポット使用条件を蓄積する。なお、運用監視データの形式は、割当て計画データ（図1の0101）と同じである。リソース使用料算出部0111は、航空各社のスポット使用実績に基づき、クレームポイントを加味した上で、リソース、すなわち各社の使用料金を算出し、その結果を調整情報0202に蓄積する。調整情報は、航空会社に

スポット使用料の請求書発行するために必要な情報で



あり、航空会社毎のスポット使用時間、使用料金、割引／割増率等を含んでいる。その他、図1に示す第1の実施形態が備える構成部を全て備える。第4の実施形態の編譯構成は、第1の実施形態の編譯構成と同一の機能を有するものである。

【0066】以下、図21の処理フロー図を用いて、本発明の第4の実施例におけるリソース使用料金算出処理の内容を説明する。

【0066】まずステップ2101において、標金対象の至  
ての航空会社を集め、それら集合Sとする。ステップ210  
2では、集合から航空会社を1つ任意に取出し、それを  
図1に示す。ステップ2103では、運用実績を参照して  
航空会社の総スロット使用時間を算出し、これを図1に  
示す。ステップ2104では、総スロット使用時間を基に  
スロットの基本的な総料を算出する。一般的に、スロット  
使用料は総料時間の基となるように定められているが、場合に  
よってはスロットを使用しない航空機の種別等も考慮する  
ことがある。したがって、この処理の詳細は運用対象の  
空港のルールに依る。

【0068】次にステップ105では、航空会社のクレームポイントを取得し、それを用いてステップ106において適用率(RP)を算出する。適用率は、クレームポイントに応じて割引率、すなわち100%未満の値が、割引率、すなわち100%以上の値のいずれかに定められる。その算出方法は特に規定はしないが、クレームポイントが相対的に高い航空会社の適用率が、相対的に低い航空会社の適用率よりも必ず小さくなることと条件とする。例えば以下に述べる方法で適用率を算出する。

(1) 航空会社のクレームポイントの平均値を算出する。(2) 算出対象の航空会社のポイントが平均値より低い場合は割引し、逆に平均値より高い場合は割引を止し、算出率を平均値からの隔たりの大きさによって例示する。

【0069】適用料率(%)を算出した後に、ステップ2107において、航空会社の燃料料金を(基本料金)×(適用料率)として計算し、課金簿帳に蓄積する。ステップ2108では、集合SからXを取り除き、ステップ2109で集合Sに要素が真であるかどうかを調べる。要素がまだ残っている場合には、ステップ2102に戻り料金算出を続行する。所定の要素について料金算出がおこなった場合、総料率を算出する。

合は処理を終了する。上述した第1～第4の実施の形態によれば、ジョブ要求元の公平性の度合いを図る仕組みを用いた上で、ジョブ要求元はポイントを受け与し、変更履歴と合わせて各要求元はポイントを保有する。その他のジョブ要求元がジョブ要求元を減少させようとするとき、ジョブ要求元の公平性を確保するために、ジョブ要求元のポイントを減らすのではなく、ジョブ要求元のポイントを増やす、という規定の下で、ポイント格差が増加も減りもする公平な変更履歴生成を実現しているようにしたので、ジョブ要求元から優先的に選択するようにしたので、ジョブ要求元における負荷を低減させることができる。

【0070】また、現在計画からの変更量が少ない変更

【図 15】本発明における第 2 の変換の形態のスポット管理システムの構成を示す図である。

【図 17】本発明における第 2 の実施の形態の順整処理の処理フローを示す図である。




【図18】本黎明における第3の要体の形態のスポート管理システムの構成を示す図である。

【図19】図18の事前計画作成部811の処理フローを示す図である。

10

ムポイント更新情報、0106：クレームポイント、0111：運用監視部、0112：出力部、0113：入力部、0114：調整部、0115：変更条件生成部、0116：クレームポイント更新情報送出部、0117：クレームポイント更新部

【図20】本発明における第4の実施形態のスポート




船名 番号	株式会社	登録情報		出航情報		スロット
		船名	登録番号 登録期	船名	出航予定 登録期	
1	AA	AA10	10:30	AA11	11:55	①
2	BB	BB10	12:25	BB11	18:10	①
3	CC	CC10	12:30	CC11	14:00	②
4	AA	AA20	8:15	AA21	11:05	②
5	BB	BB20	12:10	BB21	13:45	②
—	—	—	—	—	—	—




【図14】

図 14




【図15】

図 15




【図17】

図 17




【図16】

図 16




【図18】

図 18





【図20】

図 20



【図21】

図 21



**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**